

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/808,034                             | 03/23/2004      | Ho-Jin Kweon         | 52211/DBP/Y35       | 5657            |
| 23363                                  | 7590 12/16/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP           |                 |                      | TALBOT, BRIAN K     |                 |
| PO BOX 7068<br>PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 1110111111                             | , 011           |                      | 1762                |                 |
|  |                 |                      |                     |                 |

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | :   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | 10/808,034   | KWEON ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   |   | Brian K Talbot   | 1762   |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte<br>after - If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failt<br>Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing appearance of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed<br>/s will be considered timely.<br>In the mailing date of this communication.<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M  | March 2004.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | awn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Theoretical Section 1.   | a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠<br>a)  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>Its have been received in Applicat<br>Pority documents have been receiv<br>Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No. <u>09/792,407</u> .<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notion 3) Infor  | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04.  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D<br>5) Notice of Informal F<br>6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,034

Art Unit: 1762

Ť

1. This is a Divisional of US Ser. No. 09/792,407, filed 2/22/01, now US Pat. No. 6,737,195. Claims 1-6 remain in the application.

## Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Only method claims remain.

On pg. 1, the Cross Reference section needs to be updated as US Ser. No. 09/792,407, filed 2/22/01, is now US Pat. No. 6,737,195.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/808,034

Art Unit: 1762

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kweon et al. (6,756,155) in combination with Kweon et al. (6,372,385).

Kweon et al. (6,756,155) teaches a positive active material for rechargeable lithium batteries and method. The active material includes a lithium-cobalt compound having the formulas represented by formulas 1-4. The material is coated with a metallic oxide and heat treated to form the coated material (abstract).

Kweon et al. (6,756,155) fails to teach a lithium-nickel active material versus a lithium-cobalt.

Kweon et al. (6,372,385) teaches a positive active material used for lithium batteries where by a lithium-nickel compound is utilized as the positive active material. A coating of metal oxide is applied and heat treated to form the coated positive active material (abstract). Kweon et al. (6,372,385) further teaches that a lithium-cobalt positive active material can be used in place of the lithium-nickel material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Kweon et al. (6,756,155) positive active material by utilizing a lithium-nickel compound rather than a lithium-cobalt compound as evidenced by Kweon et al. (6,372,385) with the expectation of achieving similar success. In addition, it would also have

Art Unit: 1762

been obvious to modify Kweon et al.'s (6,372,385) positive active material by incorporating S or F compound as evidenced by Kweon et al. (6,756,155) with the expectation of achieving similar success.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner

BKTall 12/13/04

Art Unit 1762

BKT